

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/800,515 | 03/08/2001 | Yasuhiro Yagi | 010251 | 5071 |
| 23850 | 7590 12/15/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP | | | BARBEE, MANUEL L | |
| 1725 K STREI SUITE 1000 | ET, NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | J, DC 20006 | | 2857 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/800,515 | YAGI ET AL. | | | | | |
| ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Manuel L. Barbee | 2857 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and the control of the control | ation. A proper reply to h places the application | o a n in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | • | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of t | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail the stater than three months after the mail the later than three months after the mail the stater than three months after the mail the stater than the | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropri unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off. | e MPEP iate extension iate extension ice action; or | | | | |
| imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) | Brief must be filed within the pe | | | | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | , ,, | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further | | see NOTE below): | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | • | rially reducing or simp | lifying the | | | | |
| (d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed am | nendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT p | lace the | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were n | ewly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>15</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-25</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | roved or b) disapproved by the | he Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | | | | | | |
| 0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | SU | MARC S. HOFF PERVISORY PATENT EXAMI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2801 | NER O | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/800,515

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New limitations for comparing a reference characteristic with a measured output characteristic "obtained during operation of the photovoltaic power system itself" would require further consideration and/or search..

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants proposed amendments would have overcome the 112 first paragraph rejection to claims 5-14 and 16-25..